

Tense Confusion: Simple Past Versus Past Perfect

Introduction

The perfect tenses – those formed by the conjugated auxiliary verb *have* + the past participle of the main verb – are often confused with other tenses by English as a second language learners. One common verb-choice error is choosing the past perfect when the simple past is the correct form.

- (a) I signed my new apartment lease yesterday. (simple past) $\sqrt{}$
- (b) I <u>had signed</u> my new apartment lease yesterday. (past perfect) X Sentences (a) and (b) both indicate that my apartment lease was signed yesterday (a completed action), yet only one is incorrect. Why?

The simple past: Actions completed at a known time in the past

A simple past verb generally refers to an action completed <u>at a known time in the past</u>. In other words, if your sentence indicates a time, then you use the simple past. Hence, *I <u>signed my new apartment lease yesterday</u>.*

Sometimes, the time an action is completed can be found in one of the previous sentences:

<u>Yesterday morning was</u> busy. I <u>attended</u> my usual economics class. Then, instead of walking home, I <u>had</u> coffee with a friend and <u>copied</u> my essay in the library. Luckily, I <u>had</u> enough time to sign my new apartment lease.

The time *yesterday morning* found in the first sentence carries through the following sentences. The reader understands that all the actions described in the three sentences happened *yesterday morning*.

The Past Perfect: Ordering past time

The perfect tenses are retrospective; in other words, they refer to actions that occurred before another time. The past perfect indicates a <u>completed past action that occurred before another moment or action in the past</u>, so two past times, either explicit or inferred, are required to correctly use the past perfect. Its use is one way to order past actions. Here are some examples:

I <u>had signed</u> my new Lennoxvile apartment lease <u>by August</u>. (In this sentence, there is a time in the past, by August, and an action, had <u>signed</u>. The past perfect action, had <u>signed</u>, occurred <u>before</u> the time in the past, August.)

I <u>missed</u> the lecture because I <u>had forgotten</u> about it. (In this sentence, two actions occurred: missed and had forgotten. The past perfect action, had forgotten, occurred <u>before</u> the simple past action, missed.)

<u>Last semester</u>, the rock music course <u>was</u> full, so I was happy that I <u>had pre-registered</u> for it. (In this sentence, there is a time in the past, last semester, and an action, had pre-registered. The past perfect action, had pre-registered, occurred <u>before</u> the time in the past, last semester.)

The past perfect can also be used to describe various actions that led to another past verb:

<u>In 2020</u>, the ruling party of Camelot <u>felt</u> confident they would be re-elected. They <u>had made</u> all aspects of heath care completely free, they <u>had quaranteed</u> a living wage for all citizens, they <u>had negotiated</u> peace on earth, and they <u>had kept</u> taxes low. (In these sentences, the ruling party <u>felt</u> confident in 2020. Why? All of the policies - expressed using the past perfect - had been instituted before 2020, a time in the past.)

Is the past perfect required to order past time?

- 1. The adverbials *before* and *after* can be used without the past perfect to order past time. Compare the following pairs of sentences:
 - i. Linda <u>lied</u> to her friends before she <u>had considered</u> the consequences of her actions.
 - ii. Linda <u>lied</u> to her friends before she <u>considered</u> the consequences of her actions.
 - iii. Laura <u>considered</u> the consequences of her actions after she <u>had lied</u> to her friends.
 - iv. Laura <u>considered</u> the consequences of her actions after she <u>lied</u> to her friends.

All four of the above sentences are grammatically correct and have the same meaning. However, sentences (ii) and (iv) show that the order of events is perfectly clear <u>without</u> the use of the past perfect when using *before* and *after*.

2. The use of the past perfect is not required when the order of two past events is clear using only the simple past.

France <u>worked</u> on her thesis research project for two years and thereby <u>earned</u> her Master's degree. (In this sentence, France clearly worked on her project to earn her degree, so the use of the past perfect had worked is not necessary.)

Takeaways

- 1. The past perfect is used to denote that an action occurred before a particular moment or action in the past. It is a way to order past time.
- 2. If the order of past events is clear without using the past perfect, use the simple past.
- 3. If in doubt, use the simple past. You need a reason to use the past perfect.

