

ACADEMIC REVIEW COMMITTEE

Mandate and functions of the Academic Review Committee

The function of the Academic Review Committee is to hear complaints against any academic decision taken in the University by a faculty member, a Department Chairperson, a Dean or the Vice-Principal, and to adjudicate all infractions of University academic policy referred to it by any member of the University community. The function of the Academic Appeals Committee is to hear appeals against decisions made by the ARC (see below for the specific grounds for appeal). Normally, the decision of the AAC is final and binding on all parties. However, in particularly serious cases, further appeal to the Visitor may be possible (see R.O.R.'s, § 2.2). (As approved by Senate at the 461th meeting in January of 2004)

Composition and membership of the Academic Review Committee

- ◆ Two faculty members who shall be members of Senate and chosen by the Senate Nominating Committee (voting members)
- ◆ Two students who shall be members of Senate and chosen by the Senate Nominating Committee (voting members)
- ◆ One academic officer of the University (Dean or Vice-Principal) who shall be named by the Chair of Senate (the Principal) (voting member)
- ◆ Two alternate students
- ◆ One alternate faculty member

Academic Review Committee (ARC)						
			1 st Term		2 nd Term	
Name	Position	Nominated by:	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2
M. Turnbull	Academic Officer (Dean or Vice-Principal)	Principal	17/18	18/19		
R. Palmer	Faculty Senator	Nominating Cttee	17/18	18/19		
C. Rumsby	Student Senator	Nominating Cttee	17/18			
M. Mulkern	Student Senator	Nominating Cttee	17/18			
To be appointed later	Faculty Senator (Alternate)	Nominating Cttee				
A. Martin	Student Senator (Alternate)	Nominating Cttee	17/18			
E. Phillips	Second Student Alternate	Nominating Cttee	17/18			
D. Miller	Faculty Alternate	Nominating Cttee	17/18	18/19		