

# Eigenvalues of a Special Tridiagonal Matrix

Alexander De Serre Rothney\*

October 10, 2013

---

## Abstract

In this paper we consider a special tridiagonal test matrix. We prove that its eigenvalues are the even integers  $2, \dots, 2n$  and show its relationship with the famous Kac-Sylvester tridiagonal matrix.

---

## 1 Introduction

We begin with a quick overview of the theory of symmetric tridiagonal matrices, that is, we detail a few basic facts about tridiagonal matrices. In particular, we describe the symmetrization process of a tridiagonal matrix as well as the orthogonal polynomials that arise from the characteristic polynomials of said matrices.

**Definition 1.1.** A *tridiagonal matrix*,  $T_n$ , is of the form:

$$T_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ c_1 & a_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & c_{n-1} & a_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1.1)$$

where entries below the subdiagonal and above the superdiagonal are zero. If  $b_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $c_i \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $T_n$  is called a *Jacobi* matrix. In this paper we will use a more compact notation and only describe the subdiagonal, diagonal, and superdiagonal (where appropriate). For example,  $T_n$  can be rewritten as:

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} & b_1 & \dots & & b_{n-1} \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} & a_n \\ c_1 & & \dots & & c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.2)$$

---

\*Bishop's University, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada

Note that the study of symmetric tridiagonal matrices is sufficient for our purpose as any Jacobi matrix with  $b_i c_i > 0 \forall i$  can be symmetrized through a similarity transformation:

$$A_n = D_n^{-1} T_n D_n = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{b_1 c_1} & \dots & \sqrt{b_{n-1} c_{n-1}} \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} & a_n \\ \sqrt{b_1 c_1} & \dots & \sqrt{b_{n-1} c_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $D_n = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  and  $\gamma_i = \sqrt{\frac{c_i c_{i+1} \dots c_{n-1}}{b_i b_{i+1} \dots b_{n-1}}}$ .

We refer the reader to [1] for a proof and more detailed exposition. The added symmetry allows for an easier analysis of the spectrum of  $A_n$ . In particular, a cofactor expansion along the last row of  $P_n = A_n - \lambda I_n$  yields the recurrence relations:

$$P_0(\lambda) = 1 \quad (1.4)$$

$$P_1(\lambda) = a_1 - \lambda \quad (1.5)$$

$$P_i(\lambda) = (a_i - \lambda)P_{i-1}(\lambda) - b_{i-1}c_{i-1}P_{i-2}(\lambda). \quad (1.6)$$

Here  $\{P_i\}$  is an orthogonal family of polynomials with respect to the inner product:

$$\langle P_n, P_m \rangle := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P_n(x)P_m(x)w(x)dx, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $w(x)$  is the measure or weight function  $w(x) = e^{-x^2}$ . Orthogonality yields the following useful properties (see [9]):

$$\text{The zeros of } P_i \text{ are real,} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\text{The zeros of } P_i \text{ and } P_{i+1} \text{ interlace,} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \quad (1.9)$$

In other words, the eigenvalues of  $A_n$  are real and the eigenvalues of  $A_{i-1}$  interlace those of  $A_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . An interesting problem in matrix theory is that of the *inverse eigenvalue problem* (IEP). Before formally stating the problem for tridiagonal matrices, let us introduce some notation.

**Definition 1.2.** Given  $T_n$  an  $n \times n$  tridiagonal matrix, the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  **principal submatrix**,  $\hat{T}_n$ , is the matrix formed by removing the last row and column of  $T_n$ .

**IEP for Tridiagonal Matrices.** Given the ordered lists  $\Lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$  and  $\Theta = (\theta_i)_{i=1}^{n-1}$  such that  $\Theta$  interlaces  $\Lambda$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_i \leq \theta_i \leq \lambda_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , find the  $(n \times n)$  symmetric tridiagonal matrix  $T_n$  such that  $\Lambda$  and  $\Theta$  are the spectra of  $T_n$  and  $\hat{T}_n$ , respectively.

Note that the existence and uniqueness (up to signs) of  $T_n$  from spectral data is only guaranteed when  $\Lambda$  and  $\Theta$  strictly interlace; we refer the reader to [7] for more details. Also, the IEP for tridiagonal matrices is fully solved in the sense that given the lists  $\Lambda$  and  $\Theta$ , one can reconstruct  $T_n$  algorithmically (see [8], [5, page 473]).

In this paper, we are interested in the tridiagonal *test matrix*  $W_n$  that has spectrum  $\Lambda = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n\}$  and  $\hat{W}_n$  has spectrum  $\Theta = \{3, 5, \dots, 2n-1\}$ . By *test matrix* we mean a matrix with known eigenvalues and given structure. Such matrices make it possible to test the stability of numerical eigenvalue algorithms. The motivation behind  $W_n$  is provided in *section 2*.

A famous tridiagonal matrix is the *Kac-Sylvester* matrix proposed by Clement [2] as a test matrix.

**Definition 1.3.** The  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  **Kac-Sylvester matrix**,  $K_n$ , is:

$$K_n = \begin{pmatrix} n & n-1 & \dots & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & n-1 & n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.10)$$

It has the particularly nice eigenvalues :  $\sigma(K_n) = \{2k - n\}_{k=0}^n$ . There are several proofs that  $K_n$  has the above spectrum (see [3], [6], [10] ). The relevance of this matrix will become apparent when we prove our main result.

## 2 Motivation

The problem of finding the tridiagonal matrix  $T_n$  with the spectrum of  $T_n$  being  $\Lambda = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n\}$  and the spectrum of  $\hat{T}_n$  being  $\Theta = \{3, 5, \dots, 2n - 1\}$  was posed by one of my research supervisors, Dr. N. B. Willms. It arises from the study of spring-mass systems in free motion, where the eigenvalues correspond to natural frequencies of the systems. It turns out that many spring-mass systems beget tridiagonal matrices (see [4]), where the entries of the corresponding tridiagonal matrix are functions of the spring constants and masses of the systems.

More specifically, given  $n$  masses  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in suspension from a ceiling with the respective spring constants  $\{k_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ , where the hanging end of the system is free (called a *fixed-free* system), we wish to model this system in terms of matrices. The solutions of  $|\lambda M - EKE^{-1}| = 0$  are precisely the natural frequencies of the system (see [4, page 45]), where  $M$ ,  $K$ , and  $E$  are given by:

$$M = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-1}, m_n). \quad (2.1)$$

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} & -k_1 & & & & -k_{n-1} \\ k_0 + k_1 & & k_1 + k_2 & \dots & k_{n-2} + k_{n-1} & & k_{n-1} \\ & -k_1 & & \dots & & & -k_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

Note that  $E$  is upper-bidiagonal and that  $E^{-1}$  is upper-triangular with all ones. As such our problem is to verify the form of the symmetric tridiagonal matrix  $B = L^{-1}EKE^{-1}L^{-T}$  (with  $L = \text{diag}(\sqrt{m_1}, \sqrt{m_2}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ ) with eigenvalues  $\Lambda$  and such that  $\sigma(\hat{B}) = \Theta$ . As mentioned earlier, there exists an algorithm for the *IEP* for tridiagonal matrices. Our problems differs from the former as we wish to find  $T_n$  with entries as explicit functions of  $n$ .

### 3 Main Result

We begin with a definition of the matrix of interest which we shall show to be the solution of the IEP.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $W_n(k)$  be the  $n \times n$  symmetric tridiagonal matrix with the following entries:

$$W_n(k) = \begin{cases} a_i = k, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ b_i = \sqrt{\frac{i(2n-1-i)}{4}}, & i = 1, \dots, n-2 \\ b_{n-1} = \frac{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}{\sqrt{2}}, & \end{cases}$$

as per definition (1.1). For example,

$$W_6(7) = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} & 7 & \sqrt{\frac{9}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{9}{2}} & 7 & \sqrt{\frac{12}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{12}{2}} & 7 & \sqrt{\frac{14}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{14}{2}} & 7 & \sqrt{\frac{30}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{30}{2}} & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are now ready to introduce and prove our main result:

**Theorem 3.2.** The spectra of  $W_n(n+1)$  and  $\hat{W}_n(n+1)$  are  $\Lambda = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n\}$  and  $\Theta = \{3, 5, \dots, 2n-1\}$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Part A - Eigenvalues of  $W_n(n+1)$

By the Schur decomposition theorem, there exists unitary  $Q \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $Q^{-1}W_n(n+1)Q = U$  is upper-triangular with the eigenvalues of  $W_n(n+1)$  being on the diagonal of  $U$ . Hence, subtracting  $(n+1)I_n$  from  $W_n(n+1)$ , where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, shifts the eigenvalues by  $-(n+1)$ . Note that  $W_n(n+1) - (n+1)I_n = W_n(0)$ , the  $(n \times n)$  symmetric tridiagonal matrix with zero diagonal.

Now, choose  $H = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  such that,

$$\tilde{W}_n(0) := 2HW_n(0)H^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-2 & 2(n-1) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 2n-2 & 2n-3 & \dots & n+1 & n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

That is, given that the  $i^{\text{th}}$ ,  $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$  (as per definition (1.1)),  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ , entry of  $W_n(0)$  is  $b_i$ , the corresponding entry of  $HW_n(0)H^{-1}$  on the superdiagonal is  $\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_{i+1}}b_i$ . We find  $\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}$  such that  $\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_{i+1}}b_i = \frac{i}{2}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ . Note that  $b_{n-1}$  introduces an extra factor of 2 so that  $\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n}b_{n-1}$  is twice as large as the pattern suggests. In other words  $\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n}b_{n-1} = n-1$ . On the other hand, for the subdiagonal, since  $\frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_{i+1}}b_i = \frac{i}{2}$ , we have that  $\frac{\gamma_{i+1}}{\gamma_i} = \frac{2}{i}b_i$  and thus  $\frac{\gamma_{i+1}}{\gamma_i}b_i = \frac{2}{i}b_i^2$ . Simplifying,  $\frac{2}{i}b_i^2 = \frac{2}{i}\left(\frac{2ni-i-i^2}{4}\right) = \frac{2n-1-i}{2}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ . Finally, knowing that  $\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n}b_{n-1} = n-1$ , we can determine the corresponding subdiagonal entry. Specifically,  $\frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_{n-1}} = \frac{1}{n-1}b_{n-1}$ , so that,  $\frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_{n-1}}b_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n-1}b_{n-1}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1}\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right) = \frac{n}{2}$ . Our matrix is now precisely as claimed in (3.1) save for

the extra factor of 2 which we have removed to simplify later calculations. In other words, the magnitude of the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{W}_n(0)$  are twice those of  $W_n(0)$ . Now, consider  $J(\tilde{W}_n(0))^T J$  where  $J$  is the exchange matrix defined with the Kronecker-Delta where  $(J)_{ij} = \delta_{i,n-j+1}$ . The matrix,  $J(\tilde{W}_n(0))^T J$ , is of the form:

$$X := J(\tilde{W}_n(0))^T J = \begin{pmatrix} 2(n-1) & n-2 & \dots & 2 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & \\ & n & n+1 & \dots & 2n-3 & 2n-2 & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

In the spirit of [6]'s proof that  $K_n$  (*definition 1.3*) has the spectrum  $\sigma(K_n) = \{2k - n\}_{k=0}^n$ , let us introduce the  $n \times n$  matrix  $P_1$  such that:

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } P_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

so that  $P_1$  is lower-bidiagonal and  $P_1^{-1}$  is lower-triangular with all ones. We claim that,

$$\mathring{W}_n(0) := P_1 X P_1^{-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{2(n-1)}{0} & \mathbf{v} \\ \vdots & \\ 0 & L_{n-1} - I_{n-1} \end{array} \right], \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{v} = [2(n-1) \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{(n-2)\text{zeros}}]$  and,

$$L_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & n-2 & n-3 & \dots & 2 & 1 & \\ -(n-1) & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & \\ & n & n+1 & \dots & 2n-4 & 2n-3 & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

We prove the above claim. The entries of  $X$  are (as row vectors):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{First row} &= [0 \quad 2(n-1) \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0], \\ i^{\text{th}} \text{ row} &= [0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{n+i-2}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{n-i}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0], \\ \text{Last row} &= [0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 2n-2 \quad 0]. \end{aligned}$$

The  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $P_1 X$  is the  $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$  row of  $X$  subtracted from the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $X$ . Hence, the first row is left unchanged. Therefore, the rows of  $P_1 X$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{First row} &= [0 \quad 2(n-1) \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0], \\ i^{\text{th}} \text{ row} &= [0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{-(n+i-3)}_{(i-2)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{n+i-2}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{-(n+i+1)}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{n-i}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0], \\ \text{Last row} &= [0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 2n-2 \quad 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, postmultiplying by  $P_1^{-1}$  adds columns together in the sense that  $(P_1XP_1^{-1})_{i,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  (for each row  $i$ ) is obtained by adding the  $(n-j+1)^{th}$  last columns of  $(P_1X)_i$ . In this manner, the first row of  $P_1XP_1^{-1}$  is:

$$[2(n-1) \quad 2(n-1) \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0].$$

The second row of  $P_1X$  is:

$$[n \quad -2(n-1) \quad n-2 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0],$$

so that the second row of  $P_1XP_1^{-1}$  is:

$$[n - 2(n-1) + (n-2) \quad -2(n-1) + (n-2) \quad n-2 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0].$$

Or, more simply,

$$[0 \quad -n \quad n-2 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0].$$

Now, the entries of the  $i^{th}$  row,  $i = 3, \dots, n-1$ , of  $P_1XP_1^{-1}$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} -(n+i-3) + (n+i-2) - (n-i+1) + (n-i) &= 0, & (i-2)^{th} \text{ entry,} \\ (n+i-2) - (n-i+1) + (n-i) &= n+i-3, & (i-1)^{th} \text{ entry,} \\ -(n-i+1) + (n-i) &= -1, & i^{th} \text{ entry,} \\ & (n-i) = n-i, & (i+1)^{th} \text{ entry.} \end{aligned}$$

More succinctly, the  $i^{th}$  row of  $P_1XP_1^{-1}$  is:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{n+i-3}_{(i-1)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{-1}_{i^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{n-i}_{(i+1)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0].$$

Finally, the last row,  $i = n$ , is,

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 2n-3 \quad -1].$$

From where we get that  $\mathring{W}_n(0) = P_1XP_1^{-1}$  looks like:

$$\mathring{W}_n(0) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cccccc} 2(n-1) & 2(n-1) & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -n & n-2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & n & -1 & n-3 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & n+1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2n-3 & -1 \end{array} \right], \quad (3.6)$$

which is precisely as claimed in (3.4). Now, since  $\mathring{W}_n(0)$  is block upper-triangular, we have that  $\sigma(\mathring{W}_n(0)) = \{2(n-1)\} \cup \sigma(L_{n-1} - I_{n-1})$ . Let  $\overset{+}{L}_{n-1}$  be the matrix obtained from taking the absolute value of all the entries of  $L_{n-1}$ , that is,

$$\overset{+}{L}_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} n-2 & n-3 & \dots & 2 & 1 \\ n-1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ n & n+1 & \dots & 2n-4 & 2n-3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that  $\sigma(\overset{+}{L}_{n-1}) = -\sigma(L_{n-1})$ , that is, their eigenvalues have opposite signs. To see why, consider,

$$D_{n-1} = \text{diag}(1, -1, \dots, (-1)^n). \quad (3.8)$$

Clearly  $D_{n-1}^{-1} = D_{n-1}$ . Then,

$$D_{n-1}L_{n-1}D_{n-1}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & -(n-2) & -(n-3) & \dots & & -2 & -1 \\ -(n-1) & & 0 & \dots & \dots & & 0 & 0 \\ & -n & -(n+1) & \dots & & -(2n-4) & -(2n-3) & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

So,  $\sigma(L_{n-1}) = \sigma(D_{n-1}L_{n-1}D_{n-1}^{-1})$ . Then,  $-(D_{n-1}L_{n-1}D_{n-1}^{-1}) = \overset{+}{L}_{n-1}$ , but multiplying  $D_{n-1}L_{n-1}D_{n-1}^{-1}$  by  $-1$  changes the signs of all of its eigenvalues. And so we have that  $\sigma(\overset{+}{L}_{n-1}) = (-1)\sigma(L_{n-1})$ . Additionally,  $\overset{+}{L}_{n-1}$  shares the same characteristic polynomial as the following matrices:

$$(\overset{+}{L}_{n-1})^T = \begin{pmatrix} & n & n+1 & \dots & 2n-4 & 2n-3 \\ n-1 & & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & n-2 & n-3 & \dots & 2 & 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$J(\overset{+}{L}_{n-1})^T J = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & 2 & \dots & n-3 & n-2 \\ 0 & & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & n-1 \\ & 2n-3 & 2n-4 & \dots & n+1 & n & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.11)$$

since they all share the same characteristic polynomial. From where, consider the  $(2n-2) \times (2n-2)$  block matrix:

$$B = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} J(\overset{+}{L}_{n-1})^T J & 0 \\ \hline 0 & (\overset{+}{L}_{n-1})^T \end{array} \right] \quad (3.12)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & 2 & \dots & n-2 & 0 & n & \dots & 2n-4 & 2n-3 \\ 0 & & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & n-1 & n-1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ & 2n-3 & 2n-4 & \dots & n & 0 & n-2 & \dots & \dots & 2 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, consider the  $(2n-2) \times (2n-2)$  *perfect shuffle* matrix:

$$S_{n-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} e_{n-1} & 0 & o_{n-1} \\ \hline 0 & S_{n-2} & 0 \\ \hline o_{n-1} & 0 & e_{n-1} \end{array} \right], \quad (3.13)$$

where  $o_{n-1} = \frac{1-(-1)^{n-1}}{2}$  and  $e_{n-1} = \frac{1+(-1)^{n-1}}{2}$ . For example,

$$S_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.14)$$

Note that  $S_{n-1}$  has orthogonal columns and so  $S_{n-1}S_{n-1}^T = I_{2n-2}$ . However,  $S_{n-1}$  is also symmetric, so  $S_{n-1}^2 = I_{2n-2}$ , from where we have that  $S_{n-1} = S_{n-1}^{-1}$ . Now,

$$S_{n-1}BS_{n-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} e_{n-1} & 0 & o_{n-1} \\ \hline 0 & S_{n-2} & 0 \\ \hline o_{n-1} & 0 & e_{n-1} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc|c} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 2n-3 & & & & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & B' & & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & & & & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & & & 2n-3 \\ \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} e_{n-1} & 0 & o_{n-1} \\ \hline 0 & S_{n-2} & 0 \\ \hline o_{n-1} & 0 & e_{n-1} \end{array} \right], \quad (3.15)$$

where  $B'$  is obtained by deleting the first and last rows and columns of  $B$ . Premultiplying by  $S_{n-1}$  will swap the first and last rows of  $B$ , followed by a postmultiplication by  $S_{n-1}$  which swaps the first and last column, in this fashion we obtain,

$$S_{n-1}B = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} 0 & e_{n-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & o_{n-1} & 0 \\ \hline (2n-3)S_{n-2}\mathbf{e}_1 & & S_{n-2}B' & & & & (2n-3)S_{n-2}\mathbf{e}_{2n-4} \\ \hline 0 & o_{n-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & e_{n-1} & 0 \end{array} \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

So,

$$S_{n-1}BS_{n-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc|c} 0 & ZS_{n-2} & 0 \\ \hline (2n-3)S_{n-2}Z^T & S_{n-2}B'S_{n-2} & (2n-3)S_{n-2}Q^T \\ \hline 0 & QS_{n-2} & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad (3.17)$$

where  $Z = [e_{n-1} \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ o_{n-1}]$ ,  $Q = [o_{n-1} \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ e_{n-1}]$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_i$  are the standard basis vectors. Consider the topmost middle block of  $S_{n-1}BS_{n-1}$ , in other words,  $[e_{n-1} \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ o_{n-1}]S_{n-2}$ . If  $(n-1)$  is even, then  $Z$  picks off the first row of  $S_{n-2}$  so that  $(n-2)$  is odd, so that the first row of  $S_{n-2}$  is  $[0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 1]$ . On the other hand, if  $(n-1)$  is odd, then  $Z$  picks off the last row of  $S_{n-2}$  when  $(n-2)$  is even, so that the last row of  $S_{n-2}$  is  $[0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 1]$ .

A similar argument applied to the remaining three outer-middle blocks and given that the two innermost elements of  $B$ ,  $n-1$  and  $n-1$ , are already in their proper place, the following form for  $S_{n-1}BS_{n-1}$  follows by induction,

$$G_{2n-2} = S_{n-1}BS_{n-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2n-3 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 2n-4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2n-4 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 2n-3 & \ddots & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right]. \quad (3.18)$$

$G_{2n-2}$  is tridiagonal with zero antidiagonal; it also bears close resemblance to the *Kac-Sylvester* matrix previously mentioned in *definition 1.3*, specifically,  $G_{2n-2} = K_{2n-3}^T J$ . Since  $L$  resembles



the *Kac-Sylvester* matrix, consider the following  $(2n - 2) \times (2n - 2)$  matrix,

$$P_2(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \ddots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } P_2^{-1}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ o_n & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.19)$$

and  $P_2(n)$  only has one off-diagonal band of negative ones. Note that  $P_2^{-1}(n)$  is banded lower-triangular with diagonals of alternating zeros or ones and that  $o_n = \frac{1-(-1)^{n-1}}{2}$ . For example,

$$P_2^{-1}(4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.20)$$

Now, rows  $i = 2, \dots, 2n - 3$  of  $G_{2n-2}$  are given by:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{i}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-3)-i+2}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0].$$

Premultiplying by  $P_2^{-1}(n)$  adds rows  $(i - k)$ ,  $k_{\text{odd}} < i$  to row  $i$ ,  $i = 3, \dots, 2n - 2$ . In other words, for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $G_{2n-2}$ :

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{i}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-3)-i}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0]^T,$$

the corresponding column of  $[P_2^{-1}(n)]G_{2n-2}$  is:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{i}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-3)}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-3)}_{(i+3)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \dots \quad (2n-3) \text{ or } 0]^T.$$

With 0 occurring when the size of the matrix,  $n$ , is odd. Now,  $[P_2^{-1}(n)]G_{2n-2}$  has a checkerboard pattern with entries  $(2n - 3)$  below the anti-diagonal. For example,

$$[P_2^{-1}(4)]G_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 \\ 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $[P_2^{-1}(n)]G_{2n-2}$  is:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{i}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-3)}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{0 \quad (2n-3) \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \text{ or } (2n-3)}_{n-i+1 \text{ terms}}],$$

with  $(2n - 3)$  and  $0$  alternating across the row and  $(2n - 3)$  occurring at the end of the row if  $n + i - 1$  is even. Now, postmultiplying by  $P_2(n)$  subtracts the  $(i + 2)^{th}$  column from the  $i^{th}$  one, leaving the last two columns unchanged. Since  $[P_2^{-1}(n)]G_{2n-2}$  is checkerboard below the anti-diagonal, every  $(2n - 3)$  entry not in the last two columns is deleted. More specifically, the  $i^{th}$  row of  $[P_2^{-1}(n)]G_{2n-2}P_2(n)$  is now:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{-i}_{(i-3)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{i - (2n - 3)}_{(i-1)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n - 3) - (2n - 3)}_{(i+1)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad x \quad y],$$

for  $i = 2, \dots, 2n - 5$  and where  $x = (2n - 3) - y$  and  $y = \frac{(2n-3)(1-(-1)^{i+1})}{2}$ . Equivalently, the  $i^{th}$  row is:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{-i}_{(i-3)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{-((2n - 5) - i + 2)}_{(i-1)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad x \quad y].$$

Note that the first row is:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0].$$

Since the last two rows of  $[P_2^{-1}(n)]G_{2n-2}$  are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (2n - 3) & 0 & (2n - 3) & 0 & \dots & 0 & y & x \\ 0 & (2n - 3) & 0 & (2n - 3) & \dots & 0 & x & y \end{bmatrix},$$

and given that postmultiplication by  $P_2(n)$  subtracts the  $(i + 2)^{th}$  column from the  $i^{th}$  one leaving the last two unchanged, we get that  $[P_2^{-1}(n)]G_{2n-2}[P_2(n)]$  has its last two rows as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & y & x \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & x & y \end{bmatrix}.$$

And hence we get that,

$$P_2^{-1}(n)G_{2n-2}P_2(n) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -G_{2n-4} & V_{(2n-4) \times 2} \\ \hline 0_{2 \times (2n-4)} & F_{2 \times 2} \end{array} \right], \quad (3.21)$$

where  $F = \begin{bmatrix} 2n - 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2n - 3 \end{bmatrix}$  since  $G_{2n-2}$  is always of even size.

We then have that the eigenvalues of (3.21) are  $\{2n - 3, 2n - 3\} \cup \sigma(-G_{2n-4})$ . Iterating, we get that,

$$\sigma(G_{2n-2}) = \{(2n - 3), (2n - 3), -(2n - 5), -(2n - 5), \dots, (-1)^n, (-1)^n\}. \quad (3.22)$$

Therefore  $B$  constructed from  $J(\overset{\dagger}{L}_{n-1})^T J$  and  $(\overset{\dagger}{L}_{n-1})^T$  will have each eigenvalue of even multiplicity. Also,  $\sigma(G_{2n-2}) = \sigma(B)$  by a similarity transformation, thus,

$$\sigma(J(\overset{\dagger}{L}_{n-1})^T J) = \sigma(\overset{\dagger}{L}_{n-1}) = \{(2n - 3), -(2n - 5), (2n - 7), \dots, (-1)^n\}. \quad (3.23)$$

Since  $\sigma(\overset{\dagger}{L}_{n-1}) = -\sigma(L_{n-1})$ ,

$$\sigma(L_{n-1}) = \{-(2n - 3), (2n - 5), -(2n - 7), \dots, (-1)^{n-1}\}, \quad (3.24)$$

so,

$$\sigma(L_{n-1} - I_{n-1}) = \{-(2n - 2), (2n - 6), -(2n - 6), \dots, r\}, \quad (3.25)$$

with  $r = (-1)^n - 1$ . Therefore, we have,

$$\sigma(\mathring{W}_n(0)) = \{2(n-1)\} \cup \{-(2n-2), (2n-6), -(2n-6), \dots, r\}. \quad (3.26)$$

Since  $\mathring{W}_n(0)$  and  $\tilde{W}_n(0)$  are similar (see (3.2)), we get,

$$\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{W}_n(0)\right) = \{(n-1)\} \cup \{-(n-1), (n-3), -(n-3), \dots, \frac{1}{2}r\}. \quad (3.27)$$

It is worth tidying up (3.27). Doing so, we obtain,

$$\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{W}_n(0)\right) = \sigma(W_n(0)) = \{2k - (n+1)\}_{k=1}^n, \quad (3.28)$$

whereby,

$$\sigma(W_n(n+1)) = \{2k\}_{k=1}^n = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n\}. \quad (3.29)$$

*Part B - Eigenvalues of  $\hat{W}_n(n+1)$*

We wish to show that  $\sigma(\hat{W}_n(n+1)) = \{3, 5, \dots, 2n-1\}$ . Note that the entries of  $\sigma(\hat{W}_n(n+1))$  lack the special term  $b_{n-1}$ , and so we can consider the principal submatrix of (3.1). In other words,

$$M := 2\hat{H}\hat{W}_n(0)\hat{H}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-3 & n-2 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 2n-2 & 2n-3 & \dots & n+2 & n+1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.30)$$

Note that  $M$  is of size  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ . Now, recall the matrix  $P_1$ , (3.3), now of size  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ , and consider the product  $P_1^{-1}MP_1$ . Premultiplying by  $P_1^{-1}$  adds rows  $k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, i-1$ , to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row leaving the first row unchanged. We have that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row,  $i = 2, \dots, n-2$ , of  $M$  is:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-i)}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{i}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0].$$

The last row is:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad n+1 \quad 0].$$

It is easier to consider the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column,  $i = 2, \dots, n-2$ , for which the entries are:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{i-1}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-i-1)}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0]^T,$$

so that the sum of the entries of the column is  $(i-1) + (2n-i-1) = 2n-2$ . Since premultiplying by  $P_1^{-1}$  adds rows  $k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, i-1$ , to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row, anything below the main diagonal becomes  $(2n-2)$  while anything on the diagonal has the entry directly above it (from the above row) added to it. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $P_1^{-1}M$  is now:

$$[2n-2 \quad \dots \quad 2n-2 \quad \underbrace{(2n-i) + (i-2)}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{(i-1)}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{i}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0],$$

or,

$$\underbrace{[2n-2 \quad \dots \quad 2n-2]}_{i-2 \text{ terms}} \quad \underbrace{2n-2}_{(i-1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{(i-1)}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{i}_{(i+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0]. \quad (3.31)$$

Now, postmultiplying by  $P_1$  subtracts the  $(i+1)^{th}$  column from the  $i^{th}$  one. In this manner, (3.31) becomes:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-2)-(i-1)}_{(i-1)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{(i-1)-i}_{i^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad \underbrace{i}_{(i+1)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0].$$

More simply put:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad (2n-i-1) \quad -1 \quad i \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0],$$

and where  $i = 2, \dots, n-2$ . Note that the last row of  $P_1^{-1}M$  is:

$$[\underbrace{2n-2 \quad \dots \quad 2n-2}_{n-2 \text{ terms}} \quad n-2],$$

so that the last row of  $P_1^{-1}MP_1$  is:

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{(2n-2-(n-2))}_{(n-2)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad (n-2)],$$

or,

$$[0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \underbrace{n}_{(n-2)^{th} \text{ entry}} \quad (n-2)].$$

We finally get that  $P_1^{-1}MP_1$  is:

$$P_1^{-1}MP_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-3 & n-2 \\ -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 & n-2 \\ 2n-3 & 2n-4 & \dots & n+1 & n \end{pmatrix} = J(\overset{+}{L}_{n-1})^T J - I_{n-1}. \quad (3.32)$$

But we know from (3.24) that,

$$\sigma(L_{n-1}) = \{-(2n-3), (2n-5), \dots, (-1)^{n-1}\}. \quad (3.33)$$

So, by (3.9) and (3.10), we have:

$$\sigma(J(\overset{+}{L}_{n-1})^T J) = \{(2n-3), -(2n-5), \dots, (-1)^n\}. \quad (3.34)$$

And therefore,

$$\sigma(J(\overset{+}{L}_{n-1})^T J - I_{n-1}) = \{(2n-4), -(2n-4), \dots, 0 \text{ or } -2\}, \quad (3.35)$$

with  $-2$  occurring when  $n$  is odd. From where we have,

$$\sigma(M) = \{(n-2), -(n-2), (n-4), -(n-4), \dots, 0 \text{ or } -1\}. \quad (3.36)$$

More simply,

$$\sigma(\hat{W}_n(0)) = \{2k-n\}_{k=1}^{n-1}. \quad (3.37)$$

Finally, we indeed have that,

$$\sigma(\hat{W}_n(n+1)) = \{2k+1\}_{k=1}^{n-1}. \quad (3.38)$$

□

As a final result, it is worth noting that  $W_n(n-1)$  has a particularly nice Cholesky decomposition.

**Theorem 3.3.**  $W_n(n-1) = LL^T$  where

$$\begin{cases} L_{i,i} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{i}}b_i & \forall i = 1, \dots, n-2 \\ L_{i+1,i} = \sqrt{\frac{i}{2}} & \forall i = 1, \dots, n-2 \\ L_{n-1,n-1} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}}b_{n-1} \\ L_{n,n-1} = n-1 \\ L_{n,n} = 0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We must show that,

$$LL^T = \begin{pmatrix} l_1\alpha_1 & l_2\alpha_2 & \dots & l_{n-2}\alpha_{n-2} & l_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1} \\ l_1^2 & \alpha_1^2 + l_2^2 & \dots & \dots & \alpha_{n-2}^2 + l_{n-1}^2 & \alpha_{n-1}^2 + l_n^2 \\ l_1\alpha_1 & l_2\alpha_2 & \dots & l_{n-2}\alpha_{n-2} & l_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = W_n(n-1), \quad (3.39)$$

where,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ l_1 & l_2 & \dots & l_{n-1} & l_n \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.40)$$

In other words, we must verify the following equalities,

$$\begin{cases} l_1^2 = n-1 \\ l_i\alpha_i = \sqrt{\frac{2ni-i-i^2}{4}} & \forall i = 1, \dots, n-2 \\ \alpha_i^2 + l_{i+1}^2 = n-1 & \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ l_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1} = \sqrt{\frac{2ni-i-i^2}{2}} \end{cases} \quad (3.41)$$

Now, for the first case,

$$\begin{aligned} l_1^2 &= 2 \cdot b_1^2 \\ &= 2 \cdot \frac{2n-2}{4} \\ &= n-1. \end{aligned}$$

For the second case,

$$\begin{aligned} l_i\alpha_i &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{i}} \cdot b_i \cdot \sqrt{\frac{i}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2ni-i-i^2}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

And for the third case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_i^2 + l_{i+1}^2 &= \frac{i}{2} + \frac{2}{i+1} b_{i+1}^2 \\
 &= \frac{i(i+1) + 4 \cdot b_{i+1}^2}{2(i+1)} \\
 &= \frac{i(i+1) - (i+1)(i-2n+2)}{2(i+1)} \\
 &= n-1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_{n-1} l_{n-1} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}} \cdot b_{n-1} \cdot \sqrt{n-1} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2ni - i - i^2}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From where we have that  $LL^T = W_n(n-1)$ . □

## 4 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the *Institut des Sciences Mathématiques (ISM)* for giving me the opportunity and the funds to pursue my undergraduate summer research project. I would also like to thank my research supervisors, Dr. N. B. Willms and Dr. T. Jones for their continued support and encouragement. Additionally, I would like to thank Dr. F. Huard for his efforts in contacting me about this opportunity and his valuable input.

## References

- [1] Q. Al-Hassan. An inverse eigenvalue problem for general tridiagonal matrices. *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, 4:625–634, 2009.
- [2] Paul. A. Clement. A class of triple-diagonal matrices for test purposes. *SIAM Review*, 1:50–52, 1959.
- [3] Alan Edelman and Eric Kostlan. The road from Kac’s matrix to Kac’s random polynomials. In *Proceedings of the 1994 SIAM Applied Linear Algebra Conference (Philadelphia)*, pages 503–507, 1994.
- [4] G.M.L. Gladwell. *Inverse Problems in Vibration*. Nijhoff, Dordrecht, 1986.

- [5] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, fourth edition, 2013.
- [6] T. Muir. *A Treatise on the Theory of Determinants*. Longmans Greens, 1933. Revised and enlarged by W.H. Metzler, Dover, New York, 1960.
- [7] H. Pickmann, R.L. Soto, J. Egana, and M. Salas. An inverse eigenvalue problem for symmetric tridiagonal matrices. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 54:699–708, 2007.
- [8] G. Schneisser. A real symmetric matrix with a given characteristic polynomial. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 193:11–18, 1993.
- [9] G. Szego. Orthogonal polynomials. *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, XXIII, 1939.
- [10] O. Taussky and J. Todd. Another look at a matrix of Mark Kac. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 150:341–360, 1991.